## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

RAJPAUL JAGAT :

.

v. : C.A. No. 08-379ML

:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Before this Court is Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel.<sup>1</sup> (Document No. 5). This is a habeas corpus action challenging Petitioner's immigration custody under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The Motion for Appointment of Counsel has been referred to this Court for determination. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); LR Cv 72(a). For the reasons set forth below, Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel is DENIED.

There is no absolute right to an attorney in a civil case. <u>DesRosiers v. Moran</u>, 949 F.2d 15, 23-24 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1991). Before appointing an attorney, the Court must look to the type and complexity of the case, and the ability of Plaintiff to prosecute it. <u>Id.</u>; <u>Whisenant v. Yuam</u>, 739 F.2d 160 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1984). This analysis also applies to persons such as Plaintiff seeking habeas corpus relief. <u>Reese v. Fulcomer</u>, 946 F.2d 247, 264 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1991), <u>cert. denied</u>, 503 U.S. 988 (1992) (no evidentiary hearing).

From a review of the documents filed in this case to the present time, the Court finds that Petitioner has the capacity to prosecute the claim, and that Petitioner has a basic understanding of the legal procedures to be followed. If an evidentiary hearing is scheduled in this case, Petitioner

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In his Motion, the Petitioner references a criminal case apparently pending in the Connecticut state court system, and he requests a "federal public defender." The present civil matter is the only case pending before this United States District Court, and this Court only has jurisdiction to rule upon Motions filed in this action. In other words, this Court does not have authority to appoint defense counsel to represent Petitioner in criminal cases pending before other courts.

may refile his Motion for Appointment of Counsel and the Court will reconsider the request. Thus,

the Court determines that Petitioner does not, at this time, meet the test for appointment of counsel

and will, therefore, be required to prosecute this action by himself.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel

(Document No. 5) is DENIED without prejudice.

/s/ Lincoln D. Almond

LINCOLN D. ALMOND

United States Magistrate Judge

October 21, 2008

-2-